

**Prognostic accuracy of head computed tomography for prediction of functional outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest -Rationale and design of the prospective TTM2-CT-substudy**

Margareta, Lang<sup>1</sup>, Christoph Leithner<sup>2</sup>, Michael Scheel<sup>3</sup>, Martin Kenda<sup>2,4</sup>, Tobias Cronberg<sup>5</sup>, Joachim During<sup>6</sup>, Christian Rylander<sup>7</sup>, Martin Annborn<sup>8</sup>, Josef Dankiewicz<sup>9</sup>, Nicolas Deye<sup>10</sup>, Thomas Halliday<sup>11</sup>, Jean-Baptiste Lascarrou<sup>12</sup>, Thomas Matthew<sup>13</sup>, Peter McGuigan<sup>14</sup>, Matt Morgan<sup>15,16,17</sup>, Matthew Thomas<sup>18</sup>, Susann Ullén<sup>19</sup>, Johan Undén<sup>20,21</sup>, Niklas Nielsen<sup>8</sup>, Marion Moseby-Knappe<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Radiology, Lund University, Helsingborg Hospital, Helsingborg, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Department of Neurology and Experimental Neurology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Department of Neuroradiology, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Berlin Institute of Health at Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Neurology, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

<sup>6</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

<sup>7</sup> Department of Surgical sciences, Anaesthesia and intensive care, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>8</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Anesthesia & Intensive care, Lund University, Helsingborg Hospital, Helsingborg, Sweden

<sup>9</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Cardiology, Lund University, Skåne University, Lund, Sweden

<sup>10</sup> Department of Medical and Toxicological Intensive Care Unit, Lariboisière Hospital, Paris, France

<sup>11</sup> Department of Operation and Intensive Care, Linköping University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden

<sup>12</sup> Médecine Intensive Réanimation, University Hospital Center, Nantes, France

<sup>13</sup> Intensive Care Unit, University Hospitals, Bristol and Weston, England, United Kingdom

<sup>14</sup> Regional Intensive Care Unit, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

<sup>15</sup> Department of Intensive Care, the Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Australia

<sup>16</sup> Department of Intensive Care, the University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff, United Kingdom

<sup>17</sup> School of Medicine, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

<sup>18</sup> University Hospitals, Bristol and Weston, United Kingdom

<sup>19</sup> Clinical Studies Sweden □ Forum South, Skåne University Hospital, Lund, Sweden

<sup>20</sup> Department of Clinical Science Lund, Lund, Sweden

<sup>21</sup> Department of Operation and Intensive Care, Hallands Hospital Halmstad, Halmstad, Sweden.

Background: Head computed tomography (CT) is a guideline recommended method to predict functional outcome after cardiac arrest (CA), but standardized criteria for evaluation are lacking. To date, no prospective trial has systematically validated methods for diagnosing hypoxic-ischaemic encephalopathy (HIE) on CT after CA. We present a protocol for validation of pre-specified radiological criteria for assessment of HIE on CT for neuroprognostication after CA.

Methods: This is a prospective observational international multicentre substudy of the Targeted Hypothermia versus Targeted Normothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (TTM2) trial. Patients still unconscious 48 hours post-arrest at 13 participating hospitals were routinely examined with CT. Original images will be evaluated by examiners blinded to clinical data using a standardized protocol. Qualitative assessment will include evaluation of absence/presence of "severe HIE". Radiodensities will be quantified in pre-specified regions of interest for calculation of grey-white matter ratios (GWR) at the basal ganglia level. Functional outcome will be dichotomized into good (modified Rankin Scale 0-3) and poor (modified Rankin Scale 4-6) at six months post-arrest. Prognostic accuracies for good and poor outcome will be presented as sensitivities and specificities with 95% confidence intervals (using pre-specified cut-offs for quantitative analysis), descriptive statistics (Area

Fig. 1. SOP checklist for qualitative analysis

Patient: \_\_\_\_\_ Rater: \_\_\_\_\_

**Prerequisites**

**Levels**

Artifacts precluding analysis	yes	no
Brain diseases precluding analysis	yes	no
Residual contrast agent visible	yes	no

**Qualitative Analysis**

Start using standard brain window and then adapt to optimize visibility of grey-white matter differentiation. *Evaluate axial images at these 4 different levels. Consider the best grey-white-differentiation, best visibility of sulci*

1 - Brain stem + Cerebellum

Effacement of CSF spaces	yes	no
Pseudo-SAH	yes	no
White Cerebellum Sign	yes	no

2 - Basal ganglia

Bilateral loss of grey-white distinction	yes	no
Bilateral sulcal effacement	yes	no
Reversal sign	yes	no

3 - Frontoparietal cortex corona radiata level

Bilateral loss of grey-white distinction	yes	no
Bilateral sulcal effacement	yes	no

4 - High convexity cortex

Bilateral loss of grey-white distinction	yes	no
Bilateral sulcal effacement	yes	no

**Considering all 4 levels**

Complete loss of grey-white distinction	yes	no
Complete effacement of all sulci	yes	no

**Result of qualitative analysis**

Definite severe HIE: *complete or near complete loss of grey-white distinction in the basal ganglia and in the frontoparietal cortex with additional evidence of brain swelling/sulcal effacement. Consider patient age while evaluating.*

- Definite signs of severe HIE
- No definite signs of severe HIE

CT

